



# CAMPAIGN CIRCULAR

Newsletter of the  
Campaign Against Moral Persecution

U.S. DIVISION

BOX 3072  
6001  
22-4561

JANUARY/FEBRUARY 1973

EDITORIAL	2
PRESIDENT'S LETTER	3
LETTERS TO EDITOR	4
WHY MEMBERS ONLY?	9
CINEMA SCENE	10
DEFINITION OF CAMP	12
PERILS OF LAW REFORM	13

## SOCIAL EVENTS

OPEN FORUM	16
TRAIN TRIP	17
CAR RALLY	17
OTHER SOCIAL NEWS	18
WHO WILL ANSWER?	19

*Prepared by Harry, Wally, Alan, Michael, Phillip, Luke & Ray  
in time for the afternoon session!*



EDITORIAL

What follows is not necessarily the opinion of the Campaign Against Moral Persecution. It is my own view and I take full responsibility for publishing it.

I'm not going to talk about Law reform or Homosexuality. I'm writing this in deep anger and violent disgust and because I feel that it is about time we forgot our comparatively petty issues for once.

I am talking about the action of a megalomaniac clique in Washington, which is determined to bomb and napalm a nation into submission. To quote the West Australian of 13th Jan. 1973 (page 12)

"Mr. William Clements, President Nixon's nominee to be Deputy Secretary of Defence, said yesterday that he could not rule out the possibility of using Nuclear weapons in Vietnam. ....He said that he would support President Nixon if he decided to use nuclear weapons."

The man who occupies the most powerful office in the world won this position with a barefaced lie, that peace was at hand. This man appears determined to kill and maim countless thousands in order to beat his opponents into submission. The godfather is making an offer which he thinks they can't refuse.

And when all decent, thinking people lift their voices in protest against callous mass-murder --who are the ones who have remained so conspicuously silent?

Think about it -- they are the political and religious gentlemen who only crawl out of the woodwork when they attack homosexuals and similar issues.

After all, what matter to them, a million people fried to a crisp, against the pictorial representation of public hair or the thought of two males in bed together.

H.B.

SPARTAN TOLERANCE.

Generally speaking, in so-called Christian, English-speaking societies, the so-called normal sexual attraction is heterosexual, and the so-called normal position for sexual intercourse, the "Missionary" position, is male on top of female.

Males and females are respectively expected to fulfill certain life and work roles, and follow certain behavioural patterns. These can vary from period to period and from place to place.

Individuals who do not conform to these life and work roles are often condemned for their sexual and behavioural patterns and discriminated against by large sections of the community. Homosexuals are a minority group of considerable dimension, who suffer this condemnation and discrimination for no other reason than the fact that they are not conforming. Various rationalisations for these antagonistic attitudes will be trotted out from time to time. e.g. God says you mustn't; it's immoral: it's sickness; children are in danger etc.

It was to combat these socially antagonistic attitudes, to encourage the community to more tolerant, accepting attitudes, and to change the laws, that the Campaign Against Moral Persecution came into being. At first it was dedicated to combating bigoted attitudes, to ~~all matters~~ concerning private morals. However, the predominantly homosexual membership of the Campaign right from the start, their feeling of urgency for social and legal reform for homosexuals and the existence of groups handling other matters such as Abortion, Women's Lib., Pornography etc., led them to concentrate on matters more closely pertaining to Homosexuality. Transvestism is one of these.

How can we possibly sustain within ourselves both as individuals and as an organisation those attitudes which we

are combating in the community at large? How can we discriminate against transvestites, Lesbians, heterosexuals etc., even if only verbally? Our movement is a microcosm of a world-wide band which seeks tolerance, love and trust between all people at all times. How can we let our personally narrow sensibilities allow us to get so excited that we even resort to violence, as happened on one occasion recently in the Spartan Club? This is the height of hypocrisy and tragedy. It is against all we seek and stand for. Let us only be intolerant of intolerance, of bigotry, judgemental attitudes and violence. In the degree that we rid ourselves of these, so will our cause prosper.

David Myers.

---

#### SOCIAL ACCEPTANCE.

Although the eventual removal of homosexual offences between consenting adults from the Criminal Code, will somewhat remove the fear of the fuzzi: social acceptance is still a long way off in most sections of the community. The up and coming generation is more tolerant, but there is still a lot to be done amongst their parents and grandparents.

How can we achieve this?

"Actions speak louder than words."

"Teach by example."

These well worn phrases sum up the situation very neatly. Coming out is not entirely the answer. If we all suddenly announced we were homosexual there would be a great uproar in the community, I expect more than one or two of us would be, within a few days, lining up at the labour exchange for our dole money.

I think social acceptance will come slowly, mainly by example. Our own branch of C.A.M.P. is already working on this by publicity. We are providing speakers to appear at different organisations. This is one way of gaining acceptance. People attending these talks speak to their relatives and friends and find that we are not such a bad bunch after all.

We can all be good examples of homosexuals, and can all be bad. We have to "play it by ear" and when the teaching moment arrives, reveal our true selves. How exactly to do this I cannot say. There are occasions when we are bluntly asked our opinions on "queers", this can sometimes be the big moment.

I am finding some of my heterosexual friends are accepting us for what we are, and more and more people are finding out during conversation that some of us are homosexual, even though we "mightn't look like one" (what does a homosexual look like?) and that we are not all "screaming queens".

Remember, name the occupation and you'll find a homosexual in it. So let us all be good examples and do our bit towards the cause.

Ken.

---

#### EXTRACT FROM COURT-MARTIAL PROCEEDINGS.

(From: History of the British Army in India)

Col. Fotheringham: "I always thought there was something odd about Lt. Ponsonby".

Maj. Ffoulkes: "Why?"

Col. Fotheringham: "He had an affair with a horse".

Maj. Ffoulkes: "I say, was it a mare or a stallion?"

Col. Fotheringham: "A mare, of course."

Maj. Ffoulkes: "Well, then there is nothing odd about Ponsonby."

CHRISTIANITY AND HOMOSEXUALITY

Sir,

Your editorial footnote to Michael O'Halloran's letter in Nov/Dec 1972 was most welcome.

Many Christians, both clerical and lay, endorse fully your view that it is perfectly possible to be both a sincere Christian and a loving homosexual. As in all matters of theology (including moral theology) there tend to be many shades of opinion within the Church. It therefore seems a pity that your correspondent should seem to expect all Christians to identify with one view alone, when he should be aware that thousands of Christians in this country alone hold the contrary view, as expressed in your footnote.

The overall feeling and intention of the Christian gospel is about love. Therefore moral theology has to be a much wider business than the mere selection of two isolated biblical texts in the manner of your correspondent. Insofar as all men are called to be lovers, regardless of their psychological or physiological make-up, we insist that it is possible to make an honest and satisfying intellectual case around the assertion that God intends all men to express that love for which He made them.

We agree most heartily with Michael O'Halloran that those parts of the Church (for they are only parts) which adopt intolerant and condemnatory attitudes to homosexuals and their love-life are "wrong and should be "attacked accordingly".

To those concerned to pursue this subject further, may we commend Time for Consent by the eminent theologian Norman Pittenger (which is available in Penguin). We believe that the Campaign provides the names, on request, of those clergy throughout Australia who are prepared to discuss this question sympathetically.

Yours, etc.,  
A Group of Christians.

DOG-COLLAR IN PUB AND CLUB

I have been visiting the Pub for a social drink for some years, and when the club opened I joined it. A number of people, both in the

Pub and the Club have always known that I am a Priest of the Anglican Church, indeed why should I hide the fact? Until fairly recently, however, I have not worn my 'dog-collar' in either place.

Recent observations, some of which have been rather hysterical, by both clergy and lay people, have prompted me to 'come-out' in my collar. The result has been most interesting. On the whole the reaction in the club has been 'so what? ... we all know that there are members of the clergy on the Club rolls, and lets not get all up-tight about it'.

Reaction in the Pub has been, naturally I suppose, rather different. While some have gawked, and others have stared and whispered to their friends, many people have spoken to me who have never done so before, and whom previously I only knew by sight. Some, indeed have made a point of coming over and asking me to join them. Others have come over to verbally attack me, and to say how disgraceful that I should a) be there at all, and b) that I should wear a dog-collar or collar crosses in 'a place like this where the dregs of Perth society hang out' and where 'perverts of every kind are to be found' (the words are a direct quotation from a young man in the Pub).

I believe that the Christian Gospel is Good News for all mankind, black, white, yellow, pink or whatever, and for heterosexuals, homosexuals and bisexuals. The Christian message is about relationships, both with God and also with our fellow men and women ... and these relationships between us and other people are all to do with caring. We have to care for people, and want what is best for them. As 'carers', or, in more everyday terms 'lovers', many people are naturally drawn to a full relationship with someone of the opposite sex; many, however, are naturally drawn to a full and loving relationship with someone of the same sex. This is, I believe, a fact of life and is something which has always existed and always will. It is not something that can be eradicated by laws, either criminal or moral, and it is something which we must accept. The essence of any love is caring, and if you care for someone you will want what is best for them, and you won't want merely to use or exploit them; love must, above all, be unselfish.

The church is not a group of goody-goodies, or even a group of do-gooders. Some people who are members fall into both these categories, but this is a reflection of their own lack of understanding of the Christian message, and their own inability to put it into practice.

The Christian message of Hope, Joy, Care and Love is, as I have said above, for all people, and Camps certainly fall into this category! However, because there are many Camp people who have no direct contact with the Church, and because of the actions of some clergy and congregations, feel that they are outcasts and sinners of the lowest kind, it is, I feel, necessary for someone at least to be available, so that anyone who wants to talk may do so without having to make that first step, the most difficult one, of either going to Church, and hoping for a word with the minister after the service, or else going to the clergyman's home.

From my conversations with men and women in the Pub and the Club, it is obvious that very many of them have a serious hang-up about their personal relationships, and feel very guilty about being Camp. There is no need for this, as there is nothing sinful about being either homo- or hetero-sexual ... it is the way we behave that matters: what we do with our sexuality. If we are only using other people for our own selfish enjoyment, then this is wrong whoever our partner; but if, in our relationship, we really care for what is best for the other person, and don't want to exploit them, then, I believe, a worthwhile situation can develop.

I commend, and am in full agreement with, the excellent article in CAMP INK on the letter to the Adelaide Clergy, and can assure any of my friends, acquaintances and others that if we meet in Pub or Club, and I happen to have my 'collar' on, I am not there to preach or to condemn, neither am I there as a gimmick to show how 'with it' I am, but rather as someone enjoying a drink, who can be approached and talked with.

Michael Keeling.

---

"The sexual taboos of a community are usually formed by old men in whom desire has died."

Bertrand Russell

### Why "Members Only"?

A few months ago in the middle of CAMP's financial year, your Committee had to face the distressing fact that a great many of our members had not renewed their memberships by paying their annual fees. One plausible explanation that people were not paying up simply because there was no reason for them to do so; they did not have to be paid-up members in order to partake in any and all the club's activities, most notably attendance at the clubrooms. One fully-paid member, feeling unfairly deprived of his \$10, stated that he would not pay his fees next year, because why should he when others were getting away with not paying theirs, etc.

At the same time complaints were being received in respect to certain undesirable elements who were freely entering the clubrooms with possibly dubious intent. Also, members often could not find seats for the afflux of "guests" and non-members. Finally, and most important, it was brought to the Committee's attention that the Clubrooms were not gazetted as commercially open to the public, and that indeed we faced serious trouble with the Taxation Department if we ran what might be construed to constitute "an open coffee shop".

The Committee thereupon voted almost unanimously to restrict access to the clubrooms to card-carrying fully paid members and their guests, as of 1 November 1972. Because the reasons and the vote were so overwhelmingly in favour of this move, it occurred to no one, unfortunately, that a word of explanation was due the global membership and I now apologise on behalf of the Committee for this oversight. A protest group was formed and the whole matter was discussed again and at length, and satisfaction with the move has now been expressed by the protest group and indeed reaffirmed by the Committee.

Since the action has been implemented, almost all members have now paid up their membership fees. Profits from the Clubrooms have increased (alas, so have expenses!) New memberships have been coming in at the highest rate ever.

What remains is for all of us to be on the lookout for the uninitiated and unaccompanied newcomer who wanders into the club to see what it's like. (Please read the new notice to the right of the coffee lounge's entrance.)

Any unfamiliar face must be greeted and its owner made to feel welcome, and perhaps persuaded in due course, to join. Let's all keep our eyes open for these potential members -- their first impression can be crucial for them and for us.

All this being said, perhaps it is not too early to point out that CAP's new financial year begins 1 April 1973 and that present memberships therefore lapse on 31 March, not so far away. Start saving now for your membership for another better-than-ever year with CAMP!

--Leslie Alexander S.

#### CINEMA SCENE

For those who have been asking about the day bill in the Clubrooms for "Fortune in Men's Eyes", I bring you news. Apparently the film distributors don't consider "Fortune" will be good box-office in Perth. MGI/DEF told me last week that they intend releasing the film at a Drive-in within the next few months, unless they are instructed otherwise by Sydney head-office. And that's how you ruin a good film, in my book anyway.

The "Gay Deceivers" (R) suffered the same release fate as well as the loss of a few minutes running time. Most I have spoken to gave me the usual guff about the "Deceivers" playing up the stereo-types and being grossly overdone etc. etc. I found the film hysterically funny and I'm sure in this day and age, no one takes those mincing bum waddling queens as typical. And the film did fly the flag in as much as it showed the persecution suffered by two (supposedly) homosexuals. They lost their friends, the respect of their parents and one his job. Decide for yourself. The "Deceivers" will be re-appearing at a not too distant bitumen cinema yard soon.

After reading Dennis Altman's review of "The Adventures of Barry McKenzie" (R) ("the most anti-homosexual film ever") and then seeing the film I am convinced that Dennis is suffering from an extreme

case of paranoia. Sure, the film displays the intolerance of the Australian to homosexuals, but it's true ain't it? Does Dennis think that the very slight poofta bashing attitudes displayed in the film will provoke this activity any more than anything else shown in any other film?

Anyway the film must surely be the easiest to hit town for some time. What with Edna Everidge featuring throughout, bunch of gladies and all, and the gay bar scenes, transvestites, lesbians and even Bazza himself getting up in drag! Phew! I can assure you that far, far more than one-minute in twenty is devoted to subject matter to interest us AND make us laugh!

The first male sodomic rape (two male, one is) on the Perth screen will be seen at the Piccadilly Theatre in a few weeks time. Whilst probably a bit strong for some, "The Deliverance" (R) should not be missed. Starring John Voight of "Midnight Cowboy" fame, who'd want to?!

And "Portnoy's Complaint" (R) commences at the Grand Theatre shortly. "Same book, same film", say the ads. The film will be shown completely uncut, in accordance with our new Labor governments "liberal" censorship policies, I'm told. I can't imagine how they portray that complaint of Portnoy on the screen, cut or uncut.

Closer to home, in fact in our own backyard, the first of our fortnightly screenings got underway with "Baron Love Song". A pleasing turnout of some seventy members and friends enjoyed this high-camp musical on Monday 8th of January. The hysterical historical shorts of about the same vintage supporting, included Pete Smith, Passing Parade and even Jones Fitzpatrick.

Monday 22nd January will see Mario Lunza in "The Great Caruso" and 5th February "Carry on Camping".

Admission is free (in most cases?) so be early to select your seat.

Kevin Pearce.

HOMOSEXUALITYA. Definition of the C.A.M.P. Position

Who? Homosexuals are people. Most people seek love, at best, or sexual outlets, at least, heterosexuals with the opposite sex, homosexuals with their own sex, the sole difference.

Why? Theories abound, mostly not. Homosexuals are homosexuals for the same reasons heterosexuals are heterosexuals, neither voluntarily nor wilfully, but naturally, normally, and usually, healthily. To speak of a "cure" for either of these primary adjustments to life is sheer idiocy. Sexuality and its abuses are "good" or "evil" whether hetero or homo.

What's wrong? Homosexuals can become psychologically sick for the same reasons heterosexuals can, most notably through repression. The repression of sexuality means blocking off the safety-valves of normal sexual outlets and thereby inducing explosions. In the name of morality, our well-meaning but misguided laws, heavily prejudiced against homosexuality, constitute repression, and, ironically culminate in blackmail and bashings, corruption and crime.

- Such laws threaten social health.
- Such laws are immoral.
- Such laws must change.

The solution? Just as a homosexual who steals receives the same punishment as a heterosexual who steals, a homosexual who rapes, solicits or molests should receive the same punishment as a heterosexual who rapes, solicits or molests; however the homosexual who behaves himself should receive the same social, civic and professional acceptance, and indeed legal protection, as the heterosexual who behaves himself, no more, no less. Police and government have no business peeping into any bedrooms whatever.

What we want: The same laws for all, irrespective of race or colour, religion or creed, sex ..... or sexual orientation.

Leslie Schenk

PERILS OF LAW REFORM

*One of the beaut things about homosexuality is that it is almost the only remaining international underground movement. It has all the delights of a secret society on a huge scale, something like the Kent conspiracy with cocks instead of cigarettes.*

*Homosexuals have a very exciting life, in fact homosexuality's greatest drawcard is the thrills it promises - worth more than sex. What other movement offers you free entry without referees; meeting places in every city and large town in the world; a range of members whose ages and special interests ensures there is "something for everyone"; the delights of knowing you are breaking the law; contacts in every strata of society, business and commerce; and a tremendous sense of "belonging"?*

*Can heterosexuality offer anyone that?*

*But if the power-hungry queers get their way it will all change. Power corrupts, but Gay Power seeks to purify - give me the corruption anytime, it is rational and predictable and as far as homosexuality is concerned, a vital element.*

*Gay Power, and similar homo political movements have an absurd platform - that homosexuals should be treated like heteros, that they are "as good as" and entitled to the same privileges as heteros.*

*What absurdity, Homos already have a better time than squares; enjoy all sorts of privileges by virtue of their closed-shop control of many fields of employment; obtain great psychological solace from their special position; and are tied together just as much by the illegality of their behaviour as the behaviour itself.*

*Why spoil it? As politicians like to say - "Rights bring obligations and responsibilities".*

*An example is the law in England. The recent legalisation permitting homosexual acts between consenting male adults was accompanied by great increases in the penalties for acts with minors or in public. Stiff cheese for those homosexuals who do it with minors or in public. And what did the rest gain?*

Virtually nothing, as homosexuality was open and accepted anyway and had been free from prosecution for years. There is no reduction in instances of blackmail - the law may permit the act, but what about your wife, employer and square friends - they haven't changed.

The large American cities already have gay bars with near-nude boy go-go dancers; fantastic porno shops; mail-order catalogues for books, movies, slides and equipment; blatant advertising for partners in the free and underground press and just about everything else anyone could ask for.

So the cops still pick up queers in lavatories - most of the time this is their particular kick, the way some homosexuals get their thrill. For anyone else - well baby, if you can't pick a cop by now you deserve to get arrested.

So they harass homos in the Public Service - christ, they have control of the theatre, films, the fashion industry and half the other service industries anyway. Must they have the Public Service as well.

So homos are not accepted for military service, that alone makes it worth being queer.

So they won't let homos marry - thank god for the mercies of persecution. Any queer who wants that changed is as nutty as a fruit. As Aunt Maud used to say, "I don't mind male homosexuals, but I wouldn't like my son to marry one."

Homosexuals already have considerable influence in most spheres of society, and there is no doubt that they have been slowly influencing attitudes in their favour. It has reached the point where it is very fashionable to be queer and even essential in some professions. Heteros often fail to realise the large number of homos around the place - about one in every seventeen people. Where they concentrate in large cities and in certain occupations the proportion increases tremendously.

Active homosexuals are generally rare in public politics, (not counting the present British Prime Minister), although there is a story current in Canberra that a man who is in the first twelve

In order of precedence in the Commonwealth was recently being blackmailed by a Public Service Clerk.

Now that American homos have become politically active we can expect all the variations and machinations of the political process. There will be camp Presidential candidates ("Put a poofter in the White House"); splinter groups will form representing Suck Power, Sod Power, Wet Mackintosh Power, etc.

Queers will demand that a gay astronaut be sent to the moon; like the farm lobby, they will want special Federal subsidies on KY; there might even be a separate Gay Olympic Games.

Anyway, the political pressure by homosexuals will most probably result in a nasty hetero-backlash with greater repression than before. The best way to win is by guerilla tactics - move quickly and solicit in a different alley every night, when attacked show your coat-tails, control the countryside (i.e., the parks), march on your stomach (with bottom slightly arched), and no matter what lies those heteros tell you, remember - GAY LIVES!

Let's keep the homosexuality we know and love, and stop all this political power nonsense. Keep homosexuality illegal and fun - and I don't care if you do call me an Auntie Tom.

- della

(Presumed from an American publication, submitted by Mr S.R.H.)

---

The closing date for articles to be published in the next edition of the Circular is February 10th.

We appeal to all readers of Campaign Circular to make some contribution.

Although the Editors will endeavour to print all submissions, they reserve the right to edit for reasons of space or in the interests of the Campaign.

OPEN FORUMS

Our redesigned Open Forums are due to be launched on Wed. Feb. 7th at 8 pm, in the Clubrooms.

It is hoped these evenings will provide an opportunity for all members to air their views, make suggestions or criticisms, or at least find food for thought. Interested non-members will generally be eagerly welcomed, and are invited to approach the organiser beforehand.

Although we aim for reasonably serious and constructive debate, it is expected these will be light-hearted and stimulating evenings. Discussions will be kept informal though topics will be planned in advance. Anyone with a grievance or some new angle is free to contact me and arrange to have it thrashed out at a Forum evening.

Our President, David Myers, will be present to act as the voice of the Committee, and will have exactly the same rights of speech as others present. I will be in the chair to act as animator and as mediator (if and when necessary). Popular views will be noted, and significant trends reported to the Committee.

Most topics will be introduced by an interested member who will state his point of view for comment. At the first meeting, as an ice-breaker, the chairman will start the meeting with a discussion on why restrictions have been imposed on non-members in our clubrooms. If time permits, the latter part of each evening will be devoted to open discussion.

Members, please exercise your right to effective free speech and come along - controversy is vital. Make a note in your diary now!

Some of the topics for Feb. 7th are:

Restrictions on Non-Members in Clubrooms.  
Has Our Committee Lost Touch?  
Should Subscription to Camp Ink be Compulsory?  
Can we Improve our Circular?

Alan Ottaway

LABOUR DAY WEEKEND TRAIN TRIP TO ALBANY

Negotiations are currently under way with the W.A.G.R. for the hire of one or two first class, two-berth sleeping coaches for a long weekend train trip to Albany. Departure from Perth will be at 7.15 pm on Friday, 2nd March. Accommodation will be provided in the sleeping cars on Saturday night in the station yard. The two-berth compartments have wardrobes, hot and cold water, and showers are provided at the end of the car.

Departure from Albany will be 6 pm Sunday, arriving back the following morning. Morning teas are provided on the forward and return journeys and a club-car for the serving of refreshments and liquor is attached to the train whilst travelling.

The basic return fare plus accommodation on the Saturday night is \$25.00. Additional costs for the hire of a bus for one or two tours should be allowed for, as well as meals on Saturday and Sunday.

To secure a booking, please forward a minimum deposit of \$5.00 to the Social Secretary. This promises to be a wow of a weekend. Don't miss it!

CAR RALLY - SESSION - BARBECUE

SUNDAY 4th FEB - starts No. 2 Car Park, near Causeway, at 1.15pm.

Entry - \$1.00 per car.

Participants will attend the afternoon session at a country hotel. The rally finishes with barbecues at the Spartan Club at an additional cost of \$1.50 per head.

If possible, please put your name on the noticeboard if you intend going - otherwise just turn up.

SOCIAL CALENDAR

SUNDAY JAN. 28th - BARBECUE  
7pm, \$1.50 if you wish to eat.

SUNDAY 4th FEB. - CAR RALLY  
Leaves No. 2 Car Park 1.15pm, \$1.00 per car - see other pages.

MONDAY 5th FEB. - SPARTAN CLUB FILM EVENING

"Carry on Camping", with Syd James and usual gang; plus selected shorts.

WEDNESDAY 7th FEB. - OPEN FORUM  
8 pm at the Clubrooms. Members and non-members are welcome to attend this evening, which promises lots of lively discussion. For full details, see other pages.

SUNDAY 11th FEB.  
SUNDAY 18th FEB. } BARBECUE 7pm, \$1.50 if you wish to eat.

MONDAY 19th FEB. & 5th MAR. - FILM EVENINGS  
Programmes to be selected - watch Club Noticeboard.

SUNDAY 25th FEB. - BARBECUE 7pm, \$1.50 if you wish to eat.

FRIDAY 2nd MAR. - LABOUR DAY WEEKEND TRAIN TRIP TO ALBANY  
See detailed information on other pages.

SUNDAY 4th, 11th, 18th MAR. - BARBECUES 7pm, \$1.50

SATURDAY 17th MAR. - VTG/SPARTAN CLUB COMBINED RIVER CRUISE  
Gayest river cruise ever on the S.S. Perth. Pop group on board. Supper provided, BYOG. Full details next Circular.

WHO WILL ANSWER?

The Clubrooms of the Campaign should be the focus of the homosexual community in Western Australia. The scope of activities and concepts that could function from these premises cover every aspect of human relations and involvement.

Every member has some important field of work, activity, interest and personal view that is needed both in our Campaign and the Clubrooms.

There will always be conflicting organisational ideas and problems of administration, however the biggest task of people on committees and in management is to involve as many people as possible, to show their people how to make the best use of their hidden talents and to keep the Campaign moving.

The biggest task every member has is to find out in which way to assist the Campaign and Clubrooms. People from all walks of life are needed to do all kinds of jobs, and if any person would like to help in any way, please contact a Committee member.

(Flash)

Along with the recent changes in Clubroom organisation, we're now seeking helpers in other areas of the Campaign.

If you'd be prepared to help in any of the areas listed, would you please check them off and send the slip to us. Remember - you get out of this Campaign what you're prepared to put into it.

NAME \_\_\_\_\_

ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_ POSTCODE \_\_\_\_\_

SOCIAL ORGANISATION (Functions, Entertainment Skills, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	DISCUSSION GROUPS	<input type="checkbox"/>
		LAW REFORM	<input type="checkbox"/>
ROSTER DUTY IN THE COFFEE SHOP	<input type="checkbox"/>	'HANDYMAN' SKILLS	<input type="checkbox"/>
		Type of Skill	_____

JOURNALISM 

For the Circular and CAMP INK, articles are always welcome, and your help in typing and preparation of the magazine for printing.

EVERYTHING WE'VE FORGOTTEN? \_\_\_\_\_

---

DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING TO 'SELL' ?

WHY NOT ADVERTISE IN YOUR CIRCULAR ?

JUST LET US HAVE DETAILS, AND A ROUGH OUTLINE OF THE TYPE OF ADVERT. YOU WANT - WE'LL DO THE REST.

PHOTOCOPIED CIRCULAR - ADVERTISING

The Photocopy Process entails a considerable increase in expense to the Campaign. To offset this expense, advertisers will be expected to contribute a comparatively small donation towards the cost of printing. Readers in business are requested to contact the Editor to discuss the small advertising charges (tax-deductable) involved.

Remember - each issue of the Circular is now posted to over 1000 people - and read by thousands!

---

NO LONGER 'CAMPAIGN CIRCULAR'

When the publication started, our main object was to list club events. Thanks to you, the members and contributors, this publication has evolved into a semi-professional magazine. An anonymous member has offered a prize of \$10 for the best article for our next and succeeding issues. Entries close 4th Feb.